

# State Retirement System

Actuarial Valuation Report

January 1, 2016









# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section Pa	ıge
I. Introduction & Certification	İ
2. Executive Summary	
A. Principal Valuation Results2	2
B. Comparison with Prior Valuation and Experience Analysis3	3
C. Funding Progress7	
3. Summary of Valuation Results	)
4. Development of the Actuarial Gain or LossI I	
5. Plan Assets	
A. Summary of Assets12	2
B. Actuarial Value of Assets	3
6. Information on System Membership	
A. Active Members	1
B. Retirees and Survivors	Ś
7. Valuation Cost Methods	
A. Actuarial Cost MethodI8	3
B. Asset Valuation Method18	
8. Actuarial Assumptions	)
9. Summary of Plan Provisions23	3
10. Glossary of Terms	)

#### I. INTRODUCTION & CERTIFICATION

This report presents the results of the actuarial valuation of the State Retirement System. The valuation was performed as of January 1, 2016, pursuant to Chapter 32 of the General Laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and based on the plan provisions at that time. The actuarial assumptions used to calculate the accrued liability and the normal cost primarily reflect our most recent Experience Study Analysis report which we issued on February 27, 2014. The actuarial assumptions used in this valuation are the same as those used in the January 1, 2015 actuarial valuation with the exception of the investment return assumption, which was reduced from 7.75% to 7.50%.

This valuation was based on member data as of December 31, 2015, which was supplied by the State Retirement Board. Asset information as of December 31, 2015, was provided by the Pension Reserves Investment Management Board. Both the membership data and financial information were reviewed for reasonableness but not audited by us.

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements such as additional contribution requirements based on the plan's funded status; and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. As part of this valuation, we have not performed an analysis of the potential range of future measurements.

I am a member of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained in this report. In my opinion, the actuarial assumptions used in this report are reasonable, are related to plan experience and expectations, and represent my best estimate of anticipated experience. I believe this report represents an accurate appraisal of the actuarial status of the State Retirement System performed in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices relating to pension plans.

ı

Respectfully submitted,

Public Employee Retirement Administration Commission

James R. Lamenzo

Member of the American Academy of Actuaries

oseth E. Connactor

Associate of the Society of Actuaries Enrolled Actuary Number 14-4709

Joseph E. Connarton
Executive Director

Dated: May 24, 2016

#### 2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

### PART A | PRINCIPAL VALUATION RESULTS

The provisions of Chapter 32, Section 22C mandate the establishment of a funding schedule for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts pension obligation. The State Retirement System reflects one component of the Commonwealth schedule. The other components are the Massachusetts Teachers' Retirement System, liabilities for Boston teachers, and State reimbursements to local systems to reflect COLAs granted from 1982 through 1996. The schedule, as mandated by law, calls for payment of the Normal Cost plus an amortization payment on the Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL).

The Commonwealth's current funding schedule was filed in January, 2014 and was based on the results of the January 1, 2013 Commonwealth Actuarial Valuation. The FY16 appropriation under the schedule is \$1.972 billion (which was later increased by \$29.1 million to reflect the 2015 Early Retirement Incentive (ERI) - see page 4). This scheduled amount will increase 10% in FY17 to \$2.169 billion. With the additional \$29.1 million ERI payment, the total appropriation for FY17 is \$2.198 billion. Beginning in FY18, the base total appropriations under the schedule increase 7% each year until FY35 with a final amortization payment in FY36. We expect the amortization of the ERI to be completed in the next 10-13 years.

Based on the results of the 2015 Commonwealth valuation, the 7.0% annual appropriation increases would be required for two additional years with a final amortization payment in FY38 to reflect the 2015 assumption changes (reduction in the investment return assumption and the adoption of a fully generational mortality assumption). The next Commonwealth schedule will be adopted in 2017 based on the results of the Commonwealth 2016 valuation. We expect the schedule to extend beyond FY38 due to the 2016 reduction in the investment return assumption from 7.75% to 7.5% (see page 4 for further details) unless changes are made to amortize the UAL more rapidly.

The State Retirement System's allocation of the FY17 Commonwealth appropriation is \$792.2 million based on the 2013 State valuation results and includes the \$29.1 million ERI payment.

The principal results of the January 1, 2016 actuarial valuation are as follows (in thousands):

Total Normal Cost	\$844,526
Expected Employee Contributions	<u>\$517,446</u>
Net Normal Cost	<u>\$327,080</u>
Total Actuarial Liability	\$36,966,278
Assets	<u>\$23,465,963</u>
Unfunded Actuarial Liability	<u>\$13,500,315</u>

# PART B | COMPARISON WITH PRIOR VALUATION AND EXPERIENCE ANALYSIS

A comparison of the current valuation and the January 1, 2015 valuation is shown below (in thousands).

	1/1/16	1/1/15	Increase (Decrease)	Increase (Decrease)
Total Normal Cost	\$844,526	\$727,137	\$117,389	16.1%
Expected Employee Contributions	<u>517,446</u>	<u>487,046</u>	<u>30,400</u>	6.2%
Net Normal Cost	<u>\$327,080</u>	<u>\$240,091</u>	<u>\$86,989</u>	36.2%
Actuarial Liability				
Actives	\$16,442,844	\$15,894,551	\$548,293	3.4%
Retirees and Inactives	20,523,434	17,784,599	<u>2,738,835</u>	15.4%
Total	\$36,966,278	\$33,679,150	\$3,287,128	9.8%
Assets (Actuarial Value)	<u>\$23,465,963</u>	\$22,720,160	<u>\$745,803</u>	3.3%
Unfunded Actuarial Liability	<u>\$13,500,315</u>	<u>\$10,958,990</u>	<u>\$2,541,325</u>	23.2%
Funded Ratio	63.5%	67.5%	(4.0%)	

The total normal cost and net normal cost include administrative expenses and net Section 3(8)(c) additions. Section 3(8)(c) reflects receipts from or disbursements to other systems for credited service with those systems. In 2016, the amount for administrative expenses and net 3(8)(c) additions has increased significantly from \$16 million to \$45.5 million. The main reason for the increase is the addition of \$17.5 million for the amount transferred by statute as an employer contribution from the State Retirement System to the Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) for higher education employees. This amount was not included in prior valuations as we were not aware of these annual transfers until late last year. By including this transfer in the normal cost, we have treated it as a reimbursement to the pension trust fund. In addition, expenses now reflect 100% (phase-in completed, was 50% last year) of regular administrative expenses of \$16 million based on the fiscal year 2015 annual statement. Finally, the total includes \$12 million for amounts transferred to other systems under Section 3(8)(c). Historically, Section 3(8)(c) receipts have been transferred to the State's general account. Adding the Section 3(8)(c) disbursements to normal cost determines a net Section 3(8)(c) cash flow of zero for funding purposes.

For comparison, in 2015, an amount of \$16 million of expenses and Section 3(8)(c) additions was added to the normal cost. This amount reflected administrative expenses of \$6 million (approximately 50% of regular administrative expenses in the 2012 annual statement which was the most recent full plan year available when this expense was developed last year). This figure also included \$10 million for amounts transferred to other systems under Section 3(8)(c).

# PART B | COMPARISON WITH PRIOR VALUATION AND EXPERIENCE ANALYSIS (continued)

Actives			Increase
	1/1/16	1/1/15	(Decrease)
Number	88,081	88,508	(0.5%)
Total Payroll	\$5,792,288,086	\$5,591,911,233	3.6%
Average Salary	\$65,761	\$63,180	4.1%
Average Age	46.9	47.3	(0.8%)
Average Service	12.6	13.2	(4.5%)

There were 78,291 active members as of January 1, 2015 who remained in active status as of January 1, 2016. Pay for these members increased 6.9%.

Retirees and Survivors			Increase
	1/1/16	1/1/15	(Decrease)
Number	61,377	57,774	6.2%
Total Benefits	\$2,003,072,099	\$1,780,541,594	12.5%
Average Benefits	\$32,636	\$30,819	5.9%
Average Age	71.6	71.9	(0.4%)

The development of the actuarial gain/(loss) is shown on page 11. During 2015 there was an overall actuarial loss of \$439 million. There was a non-investment related loss (loss on actuarial accrued liability) of \$304 million due primarily to salary increases that were greater than assumed. Contract settlements during 2015 and the fact that 2015 contained an extra biweekly pay period contributed to this loss. There was a loss of \$135 million on the actuarial value of assets (AVA). The return on assets was approximately 7.1% on an AVA basis, compared to 1.1% on a market value basis.

Since 1998, PERAC has valued system assets using a smoothing technique which spreads gains and losses over short periods (5 years) and employs a "corridor" so that the actuarial value is within 10% of the market value of assets. The calculated AVA as of January 1, 2016 is 101.2% of the market value. Overall there is a deferred loss which will be recognized over the next few years. The AVA was 95.7% of the market value as of January 1, 2015. The AVA for both years is within the specified corridor.

The UAL increased from \$11.0 billion as of January 1, 2015 to \$13.5 billion as of January 1, 2016. This increase reflects a change in the investment return assumption from 7.75% to 7.50%, the adoption of the Early Retirement Incentive, and the net liability increase for members who transferred from the ORP and became members of the State Retirement System.

#### Actuarial Assumptions

The January 1, 2016 report uses a 7.50% investment return assumption. The actuarial liability increased by \$933 million (2.6%) to reflect the change from the 7.75% assumption used as of January 1, 2015. This change reflects the third decrease in this assumption in the past four years. We used an 8.25% investment return assumption in actuarial valuations prepared prior to January 1, 2013. The January 1, 2013 valuation reflected an 8.0% investment return assumption.

# PART B | COMPARISON WITH PRIOR VALUATION AND EXPERIENCE ANALYSIS (continued)

In our 2011 actuarial valuation, we began reflecting future mortality improvement (increasing life expectancy). Each year we modified this assumption as we moved closer to a fully generational mortality assumption (a two dimensional table based on a member's age and calendar year that includes all expected future mortality improvements). Based on our analysis in early 2015 of State retiree mortality during 2012, 2013, and 2014, we adopted a fully generational assumption in the 2015 valuation. No change was made to the 2015 assumption in this valuation. We will continue to review this assumption each year.

#### Early Retirement Incentive

Chapter 19 of the Acts of 2015 established an early retirement incentive (ERI) program for State employees. The law provided that eligible members who elected to participate had their retirement allowances determined by adding 5 years to age and/or creditable service (any combination in full years). All members retiring under the ERI had a date of retirement of June 30, 2015. The ERI was taken by 2,487 members who retired during 2015. The increase in actuarial liability due to the ERI was \$230 million. The full ERI report is available on our website (mass.gov/perac).

#### **Optional Retirement Plan transfers**

Chapter 176 of the Acts of 2011, An Act Providing for Pension Reform and Benefit Modernization made a number of changes to the Chapter 32 pension law. One of the changes concerns the Optional Retirement Plan (ORP), a defined contribution plan for higher education employees. The law provided a one-time opportunity for ORP members (and former ORP members) to transfer to the State Retirement System (SRS) and purchase service for the period while subject to the ORP. The amount of payments required is the greater of the ORP balance less employer funded contributions and the amount that would have otherwise been paid into the SRS had these employees been members of the SRS plus interest for the period spent as an active member of the ORP.

Due to concerns regarding potential plan qualification issues with respect to this provision, the State requested a private letter ruling from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) on issues concerning the taxation of the transferred assets, transfer of participation, and treatment of future employee contributions in both plans. In September, 2013, the IRS responded favorably to the request and the process of transfers began.

In the 2016 valuation, approximately 1,450 members formerly in the ORP were included in the data provided to us (most are on the active file). SRS has indicated that ultimately approximately 1,600 will be included. The data provided for the most part reflects only SRS service and Annuity Savings Fund (ASF) balances since the recent transfer to SRS. We estimated the actuarial liability for the 1,450 members by recalculating service based on the date of hire provided. The actuarial liability increased by approximately \$350 million. Based on this result, we then estimated the additional liability for the 150 members who presumably will be included in the 2017 file as \$50 million. Therefore, we estimate the total increase in actuarial liability for ORP transfers to be \$400 million.

# PART B | COMPARISON WITH PRIOR VALUATION AND EXPERIENCE ANALYSIS (continued)

Other than the relatively few retirees and a small portion of active members whose ORP assets have been transferred, this valuation does not reflect most assets that will ultimately be transferred to the members' ASF balances. If this total amount were known and reflected, the overall unfunded liability would be somewhat lower. To date, approximately \$30 million has been transferred to the ASF balances of 245 members. Based on an initial extrapolation of these figures, we expect ultimately \$170-\$200 million may be transferred. We hope to have more accurate data regarding these amounts in the 2017 actuarial valuation.

#### Job groups

We noted several issues relating to job group as part of the valuation data we received from SRS. A number of members are coded as Job Group I but we believe these members should be coded as Job Group 2. These members were coded as Group 2 in the 2014 data provided to us. This list consists of approximately 2,000 members in Department of Mental Health (DMH) and 40 members of the University of Massachusetts Police (only UMass Dartmouth police were coded as Group 2 in the data submission this year). In addition, it appears there are approximately 400 State Police who are coded as Group I but should be coded as Group 3. Based on our questions, SRS provided us the mechanism for how job group codes are generated on its system. We adjusted the job group for DMH and UMass Police in our files. This change increased the actuarial liability by approximately \$40 million. Based on discussions with SRS and information provided directly from the State Police with respect to the number of State troopers, we adjusted the job group codes for the 400 members in question. We note, however, that these members are not contributing at the 12% contribution rate we would expect for State Police hired after July I, 1996. The actuarial liability increased approximately \$39 million from this correction in job group membership.

#### Other Chapter 176 issues

There are several other changes under Chapter 176 that we have discussed in previous valuations that have the most impact on decreasing plan liabilities over the longer term. These include an increase in the normal retirement age by two years (for example, from age 65 to age 67 for Group I members), an increase in the age (early retirement) reduction factor for ages below the maximum age (from a 4.0% to a 6.0% annual reduction), and an increase in the period for determining a member's average annual compensation (from 3 years to 5 years). Since these changes are effective only for members hired after April I, 2012, this is the fourth actuarial valuation to reflect these changes.

As of January 1, 2016, there were approximately 21,400 members hired after April 1, 2012. Since these members have less than four years of service and are generally young, there is still relatively little impact on plan costs (on a percentage basis) in this valuation. The employer normal cost is approximately \$26.9 million lower than it would have been if the prior provisions were in place for these members. The actuarial liability is approximately \$45 million lower than it would have been if the prior provisions were in place.

### PART C | FUNDING PROGRESS

The UAL and funded ratio are measures of the plan's funded status. These measures reflect the plan's position as of January 1, 2016. We believe these measures alone are not appropriate for assessing the sufficiency of assets to cover the estimated cost of settling the State Retirement System's benefit obligations or assessing the need for or the amount of future contributions. However, we believe these measures, in conjunction with maintaining the appropriations required under the Commonwealth funding schedule, are appropriate for assessing the amount of future contributions.

The nature of actuarial funding is that assets gradually catch up to the actuarial liability. When pension funding was adopted in 1987, the initial amortization period was established as 40 years. Based on the amortization basis of the schedules adopted, the UAL was expected to increase for a period of time. However, due to actual investment returns significantly exceeding the expected return in the 1990's, the UAL actually decreased until January 1, 2000.

It is important to note that plan assets have grown faster than plan liabilities. As of January 1, 1990, the actuarial liability was \$7.6 billion and assets were \$3.7 billion. The difference of \$3.9 billion was the UAL. As of January 1, 2016, the actuarial liability is \$37.0 billion and the actuarial value of assets is \$23.5 billion. The difference of \$13.5 billion is the UAL. The actuarial liability has grown 4.9 times over this period (\$37.0B / \$7.6B). But assets have grown 6.4 times over this same period (\$23.5B / \$3.7B).

For this reason, we believe the funded ratio represents a better measure of the Commonwealth's progress. If you draw a straight line from the 1990 funded ratio of 49.5% to the January 1, 2016 amount of 63.5%, the line is moving upward to the right. This demonstrates the funding progress to date. Although the funded ratio reached 94.5% on January 1, 2000, this was the result of average annual returns from 1985-1999 that exceeded 12.5% and attaining such a high level of funding so quickly was not expected. Over the past 16 years (2000-2015), the average annual return on assets on a market value basis is approximately 5.7%. Over a 10-year and 5-year period, the returns have been 5.9% and 7.5% respectively. The 31 year return (since inception) is 9.4%.


We indicated previously that the actuarial liability as of January 1, 2016 increased \$933 million to reflect a reduction in the investment return assumption from 7.75% to 7.50%, the adoption of the Early Retirement Incentive increased the actuarial liability by \$230 million, and we estimate the transfers from the Optional Retirement Plan increased the actuarial liability by \$400 million. In addition, there have been a number of other plan and assumption changes in the past 6 years that have increased the State's actuarial liability. These changes include a reduction in the investment return assumption from 8.25% to 8.0% as of January 1, 2013, a reduction in the investment return assumption from 8.0% to 7.75% as of January 1, 2015, annual adjustments to the mortality assumption including the change to a fully generational assumption as of January 1, 2015, the adoption of a \$13,000 COLA base, the transfer of active members of sheriff departments in six counties, and the transfer of former members of the Massachusetts Turnpike Authority Retirement System to the State.

Including the changes as of January 1, 2016, the actuarial liability is approximately \$3.9 billion greater than it would have been using the 2010 basis. Therefore, on a comparable basis with the 2010 plan provisions and assumptions, the UAL on January 1, 2016 would be \$9.6 billion and the funded ratio would be 71.1%.

### PART C | FUNDING PROGRESS (continued)

The chart below compares the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAL) since 1990. The UAL represents the actuarial accrued liability less the actuarial value of plan assets. When there is no UAL, a system is said to be "fully funded". In this exhibit, for years prior to 2000, estimates were developed to reflect implementation of updated actuarial software at that time.


The UAL increased \$2.54 billion since January 1, 2015. Much of this increase reflects a change in the actuarial assumptions and plan benefit changes during 2015. If we used the 2015 valuation assumptions and plan provisions, the UAL would be \$11.9 billion as of January 1, 2016.



## PART C | FUNDING PROGRESS (continued)

The chart below shows the State's funded ratio progress since 1990. The funded ratio represents the actuarial value of plan assets divided by the actuarial accrued liability. When the funded ratio reaches 100%, a system is said to be "fully funded". In this exhibit, for years prior to 2000, estimates were developed to reflect implementation of updated actuarial software at that time.

The funded ratio decreased from 67.5% as of January I, 2014 to 63.5% as of January I, 2015. Much of this decrease reflects a change in the actuarial assumptions and plan benefit changes during 2015. If we used the 2015 valuation assumptions and plan provisions, the funded ratio would be 66.3% as of January I, 2016.



# 3. SUMMARY OF VALUATION RESULTS

(Dollars in thousands)

A. Number of Members	
Active	88,081
Vested Terminated	4,350
Retired/ Beneficiaries	61,377
Total	153,808
B. Total Payroll	\$5,792,288
C. Normal Cost	
Superannuation	\$611,784
Death	61,800
Disability	87,959
Termination	<u>82,983</u>
Total Normal Cost	\$844,526
Expected Employee Contributions	<u>517,446</u>
Net Employer Normal Cost	\$327,080
D. Actuarial Liability	
Active	
Superannuation	\$15,250,782
Death	353,454
Disability	452,476
Termination	386,132
Total Active	\$16,442,844
Vested Terminated	789,852
Non-Vested Terminated	212,379
Retirees and Survivors	19,521,203
Total Actuarial Liability	\$36,966,278
E. Actuarial Value of Assets	23,465,963
F. Unfunded Actuarial Liability: D – E	\$13,500,315
G. Funded Ratio: E/D	63.5%

# 4. DEVELOPMENT OF THE ACTUARIAL GAIN OR LOSS

	(in millions)
A. Gain/(loss) on Actuarial Liability	
I. Actuarial Liability 1/1/15	33,679
2. Total Normal Cost 1/1/15	727
3. Interest on (I) and (2) at 7.75%	2,666
4. Benefits paid during 2015 [a]	1,900
5. Interest on (4) assuming mid-year payment	74
6. Expected Actuarial Liability $1/1/16$ before adjustments: $(1)+(2)+(3)-(4)-(5)$	35,099
7. Increase due to change in assumptions	933
8. Increase due to plan amendment (ERI)	230
9. Increase due to plan amendment (ORP transfers)	400
10. Expected Actuarial Liability 1/1/16: (6)+(7)+(8)+(9)	36,662
II. Actuarial Liability 1/1/16	36,966
12. Gain/(loss): (10)-(11)	(304)
B. Gain/(loss) on assets	
13. Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA) 1/1/15	22,720
14. Interest on (13) at 7.75%	1,761
I5. Net Receipts [b]	640
16. Net Disbursements [b]	1,487
17. Net Cash Flow: (15)-(16)	(847)
18. Interest on (17) assuming mid-year payment	(33)
19. Expected AVA 1/1/16: (13)+(14)+(17)+(18)	23,601
20. AVA 1/1/16	23,466
21. Gain/(loss): (20)-(19)	(135)
C. Total Gain/(loss): (12)+(21)	(439)

<sup>[</sup>a] Estimated

<sup>[</sup>b] Amounts actually received or disbursed by the fund.

#### 5. PLAN ASSETS

#### PART A | SUMMARY OF ASSETS (dollars in thousands unless otherwise specified)

Pension Reserves Investment Trust (State Retirement System)

Market value \$23,176,451 Actuarial value \$23,465,963

The actuarial value of assets (AVA) is determined so that 20% of the investment gain and loss in a given year is recognized annually for the ensuing five years. Therefore, these investment gains and losses are fully recognized after five years. In addition to this treatment of gains and losses, we use a "corridor" approach so that the AVA can never be too far from the market value of assets. Under our approach for the Commonwealth, the AVA cannot be less than 90% nor greater than 110% of the market value.

Due to the severity of the 2008 investment loss, and later the 2011 investment loss, the calculated AVA was greater than 110% of the market value each year from January 1, 2009 through January 1, 2012. Therefore, the AVA was set at 110% of the market value for those years. As of January 1, 2013, the 2008 loss was completely recognized and the AVA was 99.6% of the market value. As of January 1, 2014, the AVA was 95.0% of the market value and as of January 1, 2015 the AVA was 95.7% of the market value. As of January 1, 2016, the AVA is 101.2% of the market value. The AVA is within the specified corridor.

The Market Value of Assets includes \$171.5 million in the PRIT account for former members of the Massachusetts Turnpike Authority Employees' Retirement System.

# 5. PLAN ASSETS (continued)

# PART B | ACTUARIAL VALUE OF ASSETS

A. Development of 12/31/15 expected actuarial value of assets	(Dollars in thousands)
I. Market value of assets (MVA) 12/31/14	\$23,739,487
2. Actuarial value of assets (AVA) 12/31/14 (as calculated)	\$22,720,160
3. Net Receipts 2015 *	\$639,618
4. Net Disbursements 2015 *	\$1,486,727
5. Net Cash Flow (3) - (4)	(\$847,109)
6. Expected investment return on (2): 0.0775 x (2)	\$1,760,812
7. Expected investment return on (5): $\frac{1}{2} \times 0.0775 \times (5)$	(\$32,825)
8. Expected AVA 12/31/15: (2) + (5) + (6) + (7)	\$23,601,038
B. Previous differences not yet amortized	
1. Unrecognized amount of 12/31/14 difference	
a2 x 2011 gain	(\$349,936)
b4 x 2012 gain	\$346,578
c6 x 2013 gain	\$896,201
d. $.8 \times 2014$ gain	\$126,484
e. Total	\$1,019,327
C. Gain/(loss) from 2015	
I. Market value of assets 12/31/15	\$23,176,451
2. Expected market value I2/31/I5: A(8) + B(Ie)	\$24,620,365
3. Gain/ (loss) from 2015 investment: (1) – (2)	(\$1,443,914)
D. Development of AVA 12/31/15	
1. 2015 gain/(loss)	(\$1,443,914)
2. 2014 gain/(loss)	\$158,105
3. 2013 gain/(loss)	\$1,493,668
4. 2012 gain/(loss)	\$866,444
5. 2011 gain/(loss)	(\$1,749,678)
6. 20% of 2015 gain/(loss)	(\$288,783)
7. 20% of 2014 gain/(loss)	\$31,621
8. 20% of 2013 gain/(loss)	\$298,734
9. 20% of 2012 gain/(loss)	\$173,289
10. 20% of 2011 gain/(loss)	<u>(\$349,936)</u>
11. Total: (6) + (7) + (8) + (9) + (10)	(\$135,075)
12. Calculated actuarial value 12/31/15: A(8) + D(11)	\$23,465,963
13. Percentage of market value	101.2%
14. Actuarial value: (12) but not less than 90% nor greater than 110% of $C(1)$	\$23,465,963

<sup>\*</sup> Reflects actual cash flow of PRIT fund.

# 6. INFORMATION ON SYSTEM MEMBERSHIP

A critical element of an actuarial valuation is accurate and up-to-date membership information. PERAC conducted an extensive review of member data submitted for this valuation.

# PART A | ACTIVE MEMBERS

	Actives	Vested Terminations
Number of Members	88,081	4,350
Average Age	46.9	53.7
Average Service	12.6	15.7
Average Salary	\$65,761	\$56,277
Average Annuity Savings Fund Balance	\$59,774	\$62,190

# Age by Service Distribution of Active Members

#### Years of Service

Present Age	0 – 4	5 -9	10 - 14	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30+	Total
0 - 24	2,192	7						2,199
25 - 29	6,597	862	8					7,467
30 - 34	5,279	3,019	822	10				9,130
35 - 39	3,410	2,440	2,120	697	5			8,672
40 - 44	2,592	2,002	1,894	2,294	611	24		9,417
45 - 49	2,526	1,978	2,018	2,416	2,055	1,064	70	12,127
50 - 54	2,254	1,846	1,797	1,950	1,830	2,350	1,223	13,250
55 - 59	1,717	1,566	1,719	1,744	1,587	1,908	2,395	12,636
60 - 64	924	1,089	1,238	1,297	1,091	1,181	1,823	8,643
65+	343	563	645	656	541	565	1,227	4,540
Total	27,834	15,372	12,261	11,064	7,720	7,092	6,738	88,081

# 6. INFORMATION ON SYSTEM MEMBERSHIP (continued)

# PART A | ACTIVE MEMBERS (continued)

# Salary by Age Distribution of Active Members

Present Age	Number of Members	Total Salary	Average Salary
0 - 24	2,199	\$80,721,692	\$36,708
25 - 29	7,467	\$354,657,613	\$47,497
30 - 34	9,130	\$509,262,559	\$55,779
35 - 39	8,672	\$534,008,370	\$61,578
40 - 44	9,417	\$627,871,690	\$66,674
45 - 49	12,127	\$843,950,451	\$69,593
50 - 54	13,250	\$937,519,621	\$70,756
55 - 59	12,636	\$906,385,760	\$71,730
60 - 64	8,643	\$647,296,887	\$74,893
65+	4,540	\$350,613,443	\$77,228
Total	88,081	\$5,792,288,086	\$65,761

# 6. INFORMATION ON SYSTEM MEMBERSHIP (continued)

# PART B | RETIREES AND SURVIVORS

	Superannuation	Ordinary Disability	Accidental Disability	Survivors	Total
Number of Members	50,965	642	3,274	6,496	61,377
Average Age	71.7	64.9	63.9	75.2	71.6
Average Annual Benefit	\$34,294	\$19,820	\$39,066	\$17,652	\$32,636

# Benefit by Payment and Retirement Type

	Superannuation	Ordinary Disability	Accidental Disability	Survivors	Total
Annuity	\$333,991,968	\$2,039,504	\$9,734,915	\$17,602,957	\$363,369,344
Pension	\$1,413,787,208	\$10,684,722	\$118,167,602	\$97,063,223	\$1,639,702,755
Total	\$1,747,779,176	\$12,724,226	\$127,902,517	\$114,666,180	\$2,003,072,099

# 6. INFORMATION ON SYSTEM MEMBERSHIP (continued)

# PART B | RETIREES & SURVIVORS (continued)

# Benefit by Age Distribution

Present Age	Number of Members	Total Benefits	Average Benefits
Less than 40	131	\$3,197,472	\$24,408
40 - 44	209	\$6,814,076	\$32,603
45 - 49	865	\$29,798,912	\$34,450
50 - 54	1,706	\$58,412,733	\$34,240
55 - 59	4,472	\$154,402,680	\$34,527
60 - 64	8,880	\$330,629,555	\$37,233
65 - 69	13,295	\$491,742,900	\$36,987
70 - 74	10,617	\$364,872,276	\$34,367
75 - 79	7,569	\$234,979,006	\$31,045
80 - 84	6,007	\$163,156,998	\$27,161
85 - 89	4,477	\$105,725,491	\$23,615
90+	3,149	\$59,340,003	\$18,844
Totals	61,377	\$2,003,072,099	\$32,636

#### 7. VALUATION COST METHODS

#### PART A | ACTUARIAL COST METHOD

The Actuarial Cost Method which was used to determine pension liabilities in this valuation is known as the Entry Age Normal Cost Method. Under this method the Normal Cost for each active member on the valuation date is determined as the level percent of salary, which, if paid annually from the date the employee first became a member of the retirement system, would fully fund by retirement, death, disability or termination, the projected benefits which the member is expected to receive. The Actuarial Liability for each member is determined as the present value as of the valuation date of all projected benefits which the member is expected to receive, minus the present value of future annual Normal Cost payments expected to be made to the fund. Since only active members have a Normal Cost, the Actuarial Liability for inactives, retirees and survivors is simply equal to the present value of all projected benefits. The sum of Normal Cost and Actuarial Liability for each member is equal to the Normal Cost and Actuarial Liability for the Plan. The Unfunded Actuarial Liability is the Actuarial Liability less current assets.

The Normal Cost for a member will remain a level percent of salary for each year of membership except for changes in provisions of the Plan or the actuarial assumptions employed in projection of benefits and present value determinations. The Normal Cost for the entire system will also change due to the addition of new members or the retirement, death or termination of members. The Actuarial Liability for a member will increase each year to reflect the additional accrual of Normal Cost. It will also change if the Plan provisions or actuarial assumptions are changed.

Differences each year between the actual experience of the Plan and the experience projected by the actuarial assumptions are reflected by adjustments to the Unfunded Actuarial Liability. An experience difference which increases the Unfunded Actuarial Liability is called an *Actuarial Loss* and one which decreases the Unfunded Actuarial Liability is called an *Actuarial Gain*.

# PART B | ASSET VALUATION METHOD

In valuations prior to 1998, plan assets were determined at market value. As part of the 1998 valuation, this methodology was adjusted so that investment gains and losses for a given year would not be fully recognized until five years have passed. This calculation recognizes 20% of the gain or loss occurring in the prior year, 40% of those gains or losses occurring two years ago, etc., so that 100% of the gain or loss occurring 5 or more years ago is fully recognized. This approach reduces the potential volatility in the market value approach from year to year. Under our corridor approach, the actuarial value of assets cannot be less than 90% nor greater than 110% of the market value. The actuarial value of assets as of January 1, 2016 is 101.2% of the market value.

#### 8. ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

#### Investment Return

7.50% per year net of investment expenses (prior assumption 7.75%)

The investment return assumption is a long term assumption and is based on capital market expectations by asset class, historical returns, and professional judgment. We considered analysis prepared by PRIM's investment advisor using a building block approach which included expected returns by asset class, risk analysis, and the determination of a 30 year expected target rate of return.

#### Interest Rate Credited to the Annuity Savings Fund

3.5% per year

#### Assumed Rate of Cost of Living Increases (COLA)

3.0% per year (on the first \$13,000 of an allowance)

### Mortality

Pre-retirement mortality reflects RP-2000 Employees table projected generationally with Scale BB and a base year of 2009 (gender distinct).

Post-retirement mortality reflects RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant table projected generationally with Scale BB and a base year of 2009 (gender distinct).

For disabled members, the mortality rate is assumed to be in accordance with the RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Table projected generationally with Scale BB and a base year of 2015 (gender distinct).

It is assumed that 75% of pre-retirement deaths are job-related for Group I and 2 members and 90% are job-related for Group 4 members. For members retired under an Accidental Disability, 40% of deaths are assumed to be from the same cause as the disability.

The mortality assumptions reflect our recent experience analysis published in 2014 (based on the years 2006-2011), updated to reflect data through January 1, 2015 for post-retirement mortality, and professional judgment. As such, this assumption reflects observed current mortality as well as expected mortality improvement. The pre-retirement mortality and disabled member assumptions reflect both the prior analysis and the more recent work.

# 8. ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS (continued)

#### Salary Increase

Based on an analysis of past experience. Annual rates are shown below.

Increases for all employees are 3.5% for 2013, 3.75% for 2014 and 4.0% for 2015. Increases before 2013 and after 2015 are based on service as shown below.

<u>Service</u>	Groups 1& 2	Group 3	Group 4
0	7.00%	7.00%	9.00%
I	6.50%	7.00%	8.00%
2	6.00%	7.00%	7.50%
3	5.50%	7.00%	7.00%
4	5.50%	6.75%	6.75%
5	5.25%	6.25%	6.25%
6	5.00%	5.25%	5.75%
7	4.75%	4.75%	5.25%
8-12	4.75%	4.75%	4.75%
13-15	4.50%	4.75%	4.75%
16-19	4.25%	4.75%	4.75%
20+	4.00%	4.50%	4.50%

The salary increase assumption reflects both prior experience (2014 study) and professional judgment. The assumption for 2013 to 2015 was modified to reflect current conditions.

#### Disability

Based on an analysis of past experience. Sample annual rates are shown below.

Age	Group I	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4
20	0.00010	0.00052	0.0010	0.0020
30	0.00010	0.00072	0.0016	0.0021
40	0.00068	0.00210	0.0036	0.0071
50	0.00133	0.00420	0.0094	0.0110
60	0.00120	0.00500	0.0430	0.0080

It is also assumed that 75% of disabilities will be job-related for Group I and 2 members, and 95% will be job-related for Group 3 and 4 members.

Disability rates are based on our most recent experience analysis (2014) which reviewed age, gender and job group. Final assumptions reflect this analysis as well as professional judgment.

# 8. ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS (continued)

#### Retirement

	Gı	roup I	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4
Age	Male	Female			
45	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.060
46	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.060
47	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.050	0.060
48	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.050	0.060
49	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.050	0.060
50	0.030	0.030	0.020	0.050	0.060
51	0.030	0.030	0.020	0.060	0.060
52	0.030	0.030	0.020	0.070	0.060
53	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.080	0.075
54	0.030	0.035	0.040	0.090	0.150
55	0.035	0.050	0.075	0.100	0.250
56	0.035	0.050	0.075	0.100	0.150
57	0.040	0.055	0.080	0.110	0.150
58	0.050	0.060	0.100	0.110	0.150
59	0.060	0.065	0.120	0.120	0.150
60	0.090	0.075	0.150	0.140	0.200
61	0.110	0.100	0.150	0.150	0.200
62	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.200
63	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.200
64	0.160	0.150	0.200	0.250	0.300
65	0.200	0.200	0.200	0.250	0.500
66	0.200	0.200	0.200	0.250	0.250
67	0.200	0.200	0.200	0.250	0.250
68	0.200	0.200	0.200	0.250	0.250
69	0.200	0.200	0.200	0.250	0.250
70	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

See page 20 for an explanation of retirement rates for employees hired on or after April 2, 2012.

Retirement rates are based on our most recent experience analysis (2014) which reviewed age, service, gender and job group. Final assumptions reflect this analysis as well as professional judgment.

## 8. ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS (continued)

#### Withdrawal

Based on an analysis of past experience. For Groups I and 2, rates are both age and service based for service up to 10 years. After 10 years of service, rates are age based. For groups 3 and 4 rates are service based. Sample annual rates are shown below.

#### Groups I & 2

Age	Service			
	<u>0</u>	<u>5</u>	10+	
20	0.270	0.000	0.000	
30	0.230	0.100	0.045	
40	0.160	0.080	0.030	
50	0.180	0.060	0.030	

#### Groups 3 & 4

<u>Service</u>	Group 3	Group 4
0	0.007	0.090
5	0.007	0.060
10	0.005	0.035
15	0.005	0.020
20+	0.005	0.015

See below for an explanation of withdrawal rates for employees hired on or after April 2, 2012.

Withdrawal rates are based on our most recent experience analysis (2014) which reviewed age, service, gender and job group. Final assumptions reflect this analysis as well as professional judgment.

#### Members Hired on or After April 2, 2012

Chapter 176 of the Acts of 2011 changed the retirement eligibility for the different job groups. For example, Group I eligibility changed from 55 years old with 10 years of service to 60 years old with 10 years of service (Chapter 176 removed the provision that allowed retirement at any age with 20 years of service). Our software system is programmed such that at any given age, a member is assumed to either retire or terminate, but not both. Therefore, we adjusted the retirement and termination rates for members impacted by Chapter 176. For example, for Group I members, we removed retirement rates for ages 50-59. Termination rates remain in effect for those years. We will monitor these assumptions going forward.

#### Loading and Administrative Expenses

We increased the normal cost by 2% and the actuarial accrued liability of active members by \$110 million to account for certain Chapter 32 benefits that cannot be readily valued with our software system. Such benefits include, but are not limited to, benefits provided under Sections 10, 28M, 28N, 65D, and 100. An additional \$50 million was added to the actuarial liability to reflect expected ORP transfers in future years and adjustments for certain members of job group 3. An amount of \$45.5 million has been included in the normal cost to reflect administrative expenses paid by the fund, net Section 3(8)(c) disbursements and transfers from SRS plan assets to the ORP (see page 3).

#### 9. SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

#### **ADMINISTRATION**

There are 104 contributory retirement systems for public employees in Massachusetts. Each system is governed by a retirement board and all boards, although operating independently, are governed by Chapter 32 of the Massachusetts General Laws. This law in general provides uniform benefits, uniform contribution requirements and a uniform accounting and funds structure for all systems.

#### **PARTICIPATION**

Participation is mandatory for all full-time employees. Eligibility with respect to part-time, provisional, temporary, seasonal or intermittent employment is governed by regulations promulgated by the retirement board, and approved by PERAC. Membership is optional for certain elected officials.

There are 4 classes of membership in the retirement system:

#### Group I:

General employees, including clerical, administrative, technical and all other employees not otherwise classified.

#### Group 2:

Certain specified hazardous duty positions.

#### Group 3:

Officers and inspectors of the Department of State Police.

#### Group 4:

Corrections officers, and other specified hazardous positions.

#### MEMBER CONTRIBUTIONS

Member contributions vary depending on the most recent date of membership:

Prior to 1975: 5% of regular compensation 1975 – 1983: 7% of regular compensation 1984 to 6/30/96: 8% of regular compensation 7/1/96 to present: 9% of regular compensation

12% of regular compensation for State Police officers

1979 to present: an additional 2% of regular

compensation in excess of \$30,000.

In addition, members of Group I who join the system on or after April 2, 2012 will have their withholding rate reduced to 6% after achieving 30 years of creditable service.

#### RATE OF INTEREST

Interest on regular deductions made after January 1, 1984 is at a rate established by PERAC in consultation with the Commissioner of Banks. The rate is obtained from the average rates paid on individual savings accounts by a representative sample of at least 10 financial institutions.

#### RETIREMENT AGE

The mandatory retirement age for some Group 2 and Group 4 employees is age 65. Most Group 2 and Group 4 members may remain in service after reaching age 65. Group 2 and Group 4 members who are employed in certain public safety positions are required to retire at age 65. There is no mandatory retirement age for employees in Group 1.

#### SUPERANNUATION RETIREMENT

A person who became a member before April 2, 2012 is eligible for a superannuation retirement allowance (service retirement) upon meeting the following conditions:

- · completion of 20 years of service, or
- attainment of age 55 if hired prior to 1978, or if classified in Group 4, or
- attainment of age 55 with 10 years of service, if hired after 1978, and if classified in Group 1 or 2

A person who became a member on or after April 2, 2012 is eligible for a superannuation retirement allowance (service retirement) upon meeting the following conditions:

- attainment of age 60 with 10 years of service if classified in Group 1, or
- attainment of age 55 with 10 years of service if classified in Group 2, or
- attainment of age 55 if hired prior to 1978, or if classified in Group 4.

#### AMOUNT OF BENEFIT

A member's annual allowance is determined by multiplying average salary by a benefit rate related to the member's age and job classification at retirement, and the resulting product by his or her creditable service. The amount determined by the benefit formula cannot exceed 80% of the member's highest three-year (or five-year salary as discussed below) average salary. For veterans as defined in G.L. c. 32, s. I, there is an additional benefit of \$15 per year for each year of creditable service, up to a maximum of \$300.

- Salary is defined as gross regular compensation. For employees who become members after January I, 2011, regular compensation is limited to 64% of the federal limit found in 26 U.S.C. 401(a)(17). In addition, regular compensation for members who retire after April 2, 2012 will be limited to prohibit "spiking" of a member's salary to increase the retirement benefit.
- For persons who became members prior to April 2, 2012, average salary is the average annual rate of regular compensation received during the three consecutive years that produce the highest average, or, if greater, during the last three years (whether or not consecutive) preceding retirement.
- For persons who became members on or after April 2, 2012, average salary is the average annual rate of regular compensation received during the 5 consecutive years that produce the highest average, or, if greater, during the last 5 years (whether or not consecutive) preceding retirement.
- The benefit rate varies with the member's retirement age. For persons who became members prior to April 2, 2012 the highest rate of 2.5% applies to Group I employees who retire at or after age 65, Group 2 employees who retire at or after age 60, and Group 4 employees who retire at or after age 55. A .1% reduction is applied for each year of age under the maximum age for the member's group. For Group 2 employees who terminate from service under age 55, the benefit rate for a Group I employee shall be used.
- For persons who became members on or after April 2, 2012 and retire with less than 30 years of creditable service, the highest rate of 2.5% applies to Group I employees who retire at or after age 67, Group 2 employees who retire at or after age 62, and to Group 4 employees who retire at or after age 57. A .15% reduction is applied for each year of age under the maximum age for the member's group.
- For persons who became members on or after April 2, 2012 and retire with more than 30 years of creditable service, the highest rate of 2.5% applies to Group I employees who retire at or after age 67, Group 2 employees who retire at or after age 62, and Group 4 employees who retire at or after age 55. A .125% reduction is applied for each year of age under the maximum age for the member's group.

The allowance of state police officers is calculated using a slightly different formula. Information regarding this formula can be obtained directly from the State Retirement Board.

#### DEFERRED VESTED BENEFIT

A participant who has attained the requisite years of creditable service can elect to defer his or her retirement until a later date. Group 4 employees cannot defer beyond age 65. All participants must begin to receive a retirement allowance or withdraw their accumulated deductions no later than April 15 of the calendar year following the year they reach age 70½.

#### WITHDRAWAL OF CONTRIBUTIONS

Member contributions may be withdrawn upon termination of employment. The interest rate for employees who first become members on or after January 1, 1984 who voluntarily withdraw their contributions with less than 10 years of service will be 3%. Interest payable on all other withdrawals will be set at regular interest.

#### **DISABILITY RETIREMENT**

The Massachusetts Retirement Plan provides two types of disability retirement benefits:

#### ORDINARY DISABILITY

**Eligibility:** Non-veterans who become totally and permanently disabled by reason of a non-job related condition with at least ten years of creditable service.

Veterans with ten years of creditable service who become totally and permanently disabled by reason of a non-job related condition prior to reaching "maximum age". "Maximum age" applies only to employees classified in Group 4 who are subject to mandatory retirement.

**Retirement Allowance:** For persons who became members prior to April 2, 2012, the benefit is equal to the accrued superannuation retirement benefit as if the member was age 55. If the member is a veteran, the benefit is 50% of the member's final rate of salary during the preceding 12 months, plus an annuity based upon accumulated member contributions plus credited interest. If the member is over age 55, he or she will receive not less than the superannuation allowance to which he or she is entitled.

For persons in Group I who became members on or after April 2, 2012, the benefit is equal to the accrued superannuation retirement benefit as if the member was age 60. If the member is a veteran, the benefit is 50% of the member's final rate of salary during the preceding I2 months, plus an annuity based upon accumulated member contributions plus credited interest. If the member is over age 60, he or she will receive not less than the superannuation allowance to which he or she would have been entitled had they retired for superannuation.

For persons in Group 2 and Group 4 who became members on or after April 2, 2012, the benefit is equal to the accrued superannuation retirement benefit as if the member was age 55. If the member is a veteran, the benefit is 50% of the member's final rate of salary during the preceding 12 months, plus an annuity based upon accumulated member contributions plus credited interest. If the member is over age 55, he or she will receive not less than the superannuation allowance to which he or she is entitled.

#### ACCIDENTAL DISABILITY

**Eligibility:** Applies to members who become permanently and totally unable to perform the essential duties of the position as a result of a personal injury sustained or hazard undergone while in the performance of duties. There is no minimum age or service requirement.

Retirement Allowance: 72% of salary plus an annuity based on accumulated member contributions, with interest. This amount is not to exceed 100% of pay. For those who became members-in-service after January 1, 1988 or who have not been members-in-service continually since that date, the amount is limited to 75% of pay. There is an additional pension of \$846.12 per year per child who is under 18 at the time of the member's retirement, with no age limitation if the child is mentally or physically incapacitated from earning. The additional pension may continue up to age 22 for any child who is a full-time student at an accredited educational institution. Veterans, as defined in G.L. c. 32, s. 1, receive an additional benefit of \$15 per year for each year of creditable service, up to a maximum of \$300.

#### ACCIDENTAL DEATH

**Eligibility:** Applies to members who die as a result of a work-related injury or if the member was retired for accidental disability and the death was the natural and proximate result of the injury or hazard undergone on account of which such member was retired.

**Allowance:** An immediate payment to a named beneficiary equal to the accumulated deductions at the time of death, plus a pension equal to 72% of current salary and payable to the surviving spouse, dependent children or the dependent parent, plus a supplement of \$846.12 per year, per child payable to the spouse or legal guardian until all dependent children reach age 18 or 22 if a full-time student, unless mentally or physically incapacitated.

The surviving spouse of a member of a police or fire department or any corrections officer who, under specific and limited circumstances detailed in the statute, suffers an accident and is killed or sustains injuries while in the performance of his duties that results in his death, may receive a pension equal to the maximum salary for the position held by the member upon his death.

In addition, an eligible family member of such a firefighter, public prosecutor, police officer or corrections officer may receive a one-time payment of \$150, 000.00 from the State Retirement Board.

#### DEATH AFTER ACCIDENTAL DISABILITY RETIREMENT

Effective November 7, 1996, Accidental Disability retirees were allowed to select Option C at retirement and provide a benefit for an eligible survivor. For Accidental Disability retirees prior to November 7, 1996, who could not select Option C, if the member's death is from a cause unrelated to the condition for which the member received accidental disability benefits, a surviving spouse will receive an annual allowance of \$12,000.

#### DEATH IN ACTIVE SERVICE

Allowance: An immediate allowance equal to the Option C benefit that would have been payable had the member retired and selected Option C on the day before his or her death. For a member who became a member prior to April 2, 2012 whose death occurred prior to the member's superannuation retirement age, the age 55 benefit rate is used. For a member classified in Group I who became a member on or after April 2, 2012 whose death occurred prior to the member's superannuation retirement age, the age 60 benefit rate is used. If the member died after age 60, the actual age is used. The minimum annual allowance payable to the surviving spouse of a member-in-service who dies with at least two years of creditable service is \$6,000, provided that the member and the spouse were married for at least one year and living together on the member's date of death.

The surviving spouse of such a member-in-service receives an additional allowance equal to the sum of \$1,440 per year for the first child and \$1,080 per year for each additional child until all dependent children reach age 18 or 22 if a full-time student, unless mentally or physically incapacitated.

#### COST OF LIVING

A cost of living adjustment (COLA) is determined based upon the increase in the Consumer Price Index (CPI) used for indexing Social Security benefits, but cannot exceed 3.0% on the first \$13,000 of a retiree's benefit.

#### METHODS OF PAYMENT

A member may elect to receive his or her retirement allowance in one of 3 forms of payment.

**Option A:** Total annual allowance, payable in monthly installments, commencing at retirement and terminating at the member's death.

**Option B:** A reduced annual allowance, payable in monthly installments, commencing at retirement and terminating at the death of the member, provided, however, that if the total amount of the annuity portion received by the member is less than the amount of his or her accumulated deductions, including interest, the difference or balance of his accumulated deductions will be paid in a lump sum to the retiree's beneficiary or beneficiaries of choice.

**Option C:** A reduced annual allowance, payable in monthly installments, commencing at retirement. At the death of the retired employee, 2/3 of the allowance is payable to the member's designated beneficiary (who may be the spouse, or former spouse who is unmarried at the time of retirement for a member whose retirement becomes effective on or after February 2, 1992, the child, parent, or sibling of the employee) for the life of the beneficiary. If the beneficiary pre-deceases the retiree, the benefit payable to the retiree increases (or "pops up") to Option A based on the factor used to determine the Option C benefit at retirement. The Option C became available to accidental disability retirees on November 7, 1996.

#### ALLOCATION OF PENSION COSTS

If a member's total creditable service was partly earned by employment in more than one retirement system, the cost of the "pension portion" is allocated between the different systems pro rata based on the member's service within each retirement system. If a member received regular compensation concurrently from two or more systems on or after January 1, 2010, and was not vested in both systems as of January 1, 2010, such a pro-ration will not be undertaken. This is because such a person will receive a separate retirement allowance from each system.

#### 10. GLOSSARY OF TERMS

#### ACTUARIAL ACCRUED LIABILITY

That portion of the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits which is not provided by future Normal Costs or employee contributions. It is the portion of the Actuarial Present Value attributable to service rendered as of the Valuation Date.

#### **ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS**

Assumptions, based upon past experience or standard tables, used to predict the occurrence of future events affecting the amount and duration of pension benefits, such as: mortality, withdrawal, disablement and retirement; changes in compensation; rates of investment earnings and asset appreciation or depreciation; and any other relevant items.

### ACTUARIAL COST METHOD (OR FUNDING METHOD)

A procedure for allocating the Actuarial Present Value of all past and future pension plan benefits to the Normal Cost and the Actuarial Accrued Liability.

#### ACTUARIAL GAIN OR LOSS (OR EXPERIENCE GAIN OR LOSS)

A measure of the difference between actual experience and that expected based upon the set of Actuarial Assumptions, during the period between two Actuarial Valuation dates.

**Note:** The effect on the Accrued Liability and/or the Normal Cost resulting from changes in the Actuarial Assumptions, the Actuarial Cost Method or pension plan provisions would be described as such, not as an Actuarial Gain (Loss).

#### **ACTUARIAL PRESENT VALUE**

The dollar value on the valuation date of all benefits expected to be paid to current members based upon the Actuarial Assumptions and the terms of the Plan.

#### AMORTIZATION PAYMENT

That portion of the pension plan appropriation which represents payments made to pay interest on and the reduction of the Unfunded Accrued Liability.

# 10. GLOSSARY OF TERMS (continued)

#### ANNUAL STATEMENT

The statement submitted to PERAC each year that describes the asset holdings and Fund balances as of December 3I and the transactions during the calendar year that affected the financial condition of the retirement system.

#### ANNUITY RESERVE FUND

The fund into which total accumulated deductions, including interest, is transferred at the time a member retires, and from which annuity payments are made.

#### ANNUITY SAVINGS FUND

The fund in which employee contributions plus interest credited are held for active members and for former members who have not withdrawn their contributions and are not yet receiving a benefit (inactive members).

#### **ASSETS**

The value of securities held by the plan.

#### **COST OF BENEFITS**

The estimated payment from the pension system for benefits for the fiscal year.

#### **FUNDING SCHEDULE**

The schedule based upon the most recently approved actuarial valuation which sets forth the amount which would be appropriated to the pension system in accordance with Section 22C of M.G.L. Chapter 32.

#### **GASB**

Governmental Accounting Standards Board

## 10. GLOSSARY OF TERMS (continued)

#### NORMAL COST

Total Normal Cost is that portion of the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits, which is to be paid in a single fiscal year. The Employee Normal Cost is the amount of the expected employee contributions for the fiscal year. The Employer Normal Cost is the difference between the Total Normal Cost and the Employee Normal Cost.

#### PENSION FUND

The fund into which appropriation amounts as determined by PERAC are paid and from which pension benefits are paid.

#### PENSION RESERVE FUND


The fund which shall be credited with all amounts set aside by a system for the purpose of establishing a reserve to meet future pension liabilities. These amounts would include excess interest earnings.

#### SPECIAL FUND FOR MILITARY SERVICE CREDIT

The fund which is credited with amounts paid by the retirement board equal to the amount which would have been contributed by a member during a military leave of absence as if the member had remained in active service of the retirement board. In the event of retirement or a non-job related death, such amount is transferred to the Annuity Reserve Fund. In the event of termination prior to retirement or death, such amount shall be transferred to the Pension Fund.

#### UNFUNDED ACCRUED LIABILITY

The excess of the Actuarial Accrued Liability over the Assets.



#### PUBLIC EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT ADMINISTRATION COMMISSION

Five Middlesex Avenue | Suite 304 | Somerville, MA 02145

Ph: 617.666.4446 | Fax: 617.628.4002

TTY: 617.591.8917 | Web: www.mass.gov/perac